

# THE GAMES

## Bingo

For the ninth consecutive year, wagering on bingo in Nebraska declined. Bingo gross receipts for the reporting period were \$16,686,076, bingo's lowest wagering total in 15 years. Bingo gross receipts were down 5.41 percent from the previous reporting period.


Class I bingo receipts at \$4,576,461 were up this reporting period by .3 percent from the previous reporting period. This contrasts with a decrease in the number of organizations holding a Class I bingo license (152 this period as compared to 161 last year). Class II bingo receipts for the reporting period totaled \$12,109,615, a decrease of 7.4 percent from the previous reporting period. A decrease also occurred in the number of licensees for Class II bingo (30 this period as compared to 33 last year). Although bingo suffered a 12 percent decrease in attendance when compared to last year, the average player spend actually increased from \$21.78 to \$23.42. The increase in player spend was most apparent in the Class II halls using electronic bingo card monitoring devices.

Both Class I and Class II bingo showed an increase in net income this reporting period as compared to last year. In fact, Class I licensees showed an increase in gross receipts, prizes paid, bingo expenses, taxes, and profit this fiscal year. In contrast, Class II licensees had a decrease in gross receipts, prizes paid, bingo expenses, and taxes while reporting an increase in profit this reporting period when compared to last year. Another significant statistic to note in the bingo area during this reporting period is that for the fourth time since the Charitable Gaming Division started publishing annual reports (1990), Class II bingo actually showed a net profit. (Typically bingo acts as a loss leader for an organization's pickle card sales at the bingo occasion.) Class I and Class II both also experienced an increase in net profit percentage of bingo gross receipts. The Class I bingo profit percentage increased from 14.38 percent last year to 15.48 percent this year and Class II bingo profit percentage increased from 1.6 percent to 2.27 percent this year. This is the third annual report without any local bingo tax on these receipts due to a legislative change effective January 1, 1999, which eliminated the local bingo tax of 1 percent of the gross receipts.


Although the figures on this page do not include attendance, wagering, prizes, or expenses for Special Event Bingo, it is noteworthy to mention its existence. Special Event Bingo is a charitable gaming option that became available in October of 1994 and which allows an organization to forego the requirement of obtaining a regular bingo license if it wants to conduct bingo only once or twice a year at a special function, such as a county fair or school fun night. As organizations have become more familiar with Special Event Bingo, many have dropped their Class I bingo licenses, obtaining a Special Event Bingo permit instead. Special Event Bingo is exempt from the tax, record keeping, and reporting requirement in place for Class I and Class II bingo licensees. It appears that the number of Special Event Bingo permits issued during this reporting period, 91, has stabilized as it was only 1 percent less than the number issued last year.

	CLASS I	CLASS II	TOTAL
<b>Bingo Gross Receipts</b> .....	<b>\$4,576,461</b>	<b>\$12,109,615</b>	<b>\$16,686,076</b>
<b>Prizes</b> .....	<b>3,399,373</b>	<b>8,703,179</b>	<b>12,102,552</b>
<b>Expenses</b> .....	<b>331,535</b>	<b>2,768,577</b>	<b>3,100,112</b>
<b>Taxes</b> .....	<b>137,293</b>	<b>363,288</b>	<b>500,581</b>
<b>Profit</b> .....	<b>\$ 708,260</b>	<b>\$ 274,571</b>	<b>\$ 982,831</b>

### Class I



### Class II



<b>Number of Occasions Conducted</b> .....	<b>10,452</b>
<b>Attendance Reported</b> .....	<b>712,548</b>
<b>Average Player Spend Per Occasion</b> .....	<b>\$23.42*</b>

\*Does not include player spend on pickle cards sold at the bingo occasions.

# Pickle Cards

As has been the case for the past seven reporting periods, total pickle card sales in Nebraska declined during the reporting period, totaling \$68,302,524. This is a 4.33 percent decrease compared to the previous reporting period, and marked the fourth consecutive year that pickle card sales failed to reach \$100,000,000. Overall, pickle card sales are down 61.49 percent from their peak during the 1994 reporting period when sales hit \$177,359,919. Conjointly, the number of pickle card units sold during the reporting period also declined (down 4.36 percent) with only 33,239 units reported sold as compared to 34,755 for the previous reporting period.

Class I licensees, those selling pickle cards only at their designated premises (club facility) and bingo occasions, reported a decrease in sales of 4.02 percent, falling from \$13,864,233 last year to \$13,306,502 this year. For the same period, Class II licensees, those selling primarily through pickle card operators, had a similar decrease in sales of 4.40 percent, dropping from \$57,527,286 last year to \$54,996,022 this year. The number of organizations licensed to conduct a lottery by the sale of pickle cards also decreased again this reporting period (down from 603 to 575), with decreases in both Class I and Class II licensees.



A majority of the pickle cards sold in Nebraska continue to be through pickle card operators (see pie chart on the right below), although there was a slight decrease in that percentage this reporting period (down from 74 percent to 73 percent). For the seventh consecutive year, the number of licensed pickle card operators continued to drop, going from 1,354 last year to 1,250 this year. The number of pickle card dispensing devices registered during the reporting period also declined from last year, dropping by 3.47 percent (1,475 devices registered this period as compared to 1,528 for the same period last year).

No significant changes were seen this reporting period as compared to last with respect to the percentage distribution of pickle card gross proceeds for both Class I and Class II licensees (see pair of pie charts on the left below.)


	CLASS I	CLASS II	TOTAL
<b>Pickle Card Gross Proceeds</b> .....	<b>\$13,306,502</b>	<b>\$54,996,022</b>	<b>\$68,302,524</b>
<b>Prizes</b> .....	<b>9,944,568</b>	<b>41,701,192</b>	<b>51,645,760</b>
<b>Operator Commissions</b> .....	<b>N/A</b>	<b>3,610,187</b>	<b>3,610,187</b>
<b>Expenses</b> .....	<b>792,278</b>	<b>2,650,640</b>	<b>3,442,918</b>
<b>Taxes</b> .....	<b>324,577</b>	<b>1,341,484</b>	<b>1,666,061</b>
<b>Profit</b> .....	<b>\$ 2,245,079</b>	<b>\$ 5,692,520</b>	<b>\$ 7,937,598</b>

## Class II

### Class I



## Where Pickle Cards are Sold



Pickle Card Dispensing Devices Registered .....	1,475
Number of Pickle Card Units Sold .....	33,239

\* The statutory pickle card tax rate is ten percent of definite profit, which translates to approximately 2.4 percent of gross proceeds.

\*\* The statutory maximum allowed for a pickle card operator commission is 30 percent of definite profit, which translates to approximately 7 percent of gross proceeds.

# Lotteries and Raffles

Lottery/raffle gross proceeds have reached a new high at \$4,562,701. The lottery/raffle combined gross proceeds represent an increase of more than 7.65 percent when compared to the same period last year. Last year's proceeds had also set a new peak at \$4,238,281 or more than an 11 percent increase over the previous period. In the five prior periods (the years ended between 1995 and 1999), gross proceeds had held fairly steady between the 3 and 3.5 million dollar mark. Separating the two activities, the sale of lottery tickets (primarily cash prize drawing) increased 3.81 percent while the sale of raffle tickets (primarily merchandise prize drawing) jumped 17.76 percent. We caution, however, that due to the relatively small volume of lottery/raffle activity in comparison to the other charitable gaming activities, one or two large lotteries or raffles per reporting period can have a significant impact on the percent of increase or decrease for this program.



The total number of lottery/raffle licensees increased by more than 8 percent during this reporting period (264 this period compared to 243 last year). Most of the increase in licenses may be attributable to increased compliance with licensing requirements through our enhanced educational efforts of the organizations that are subject to licensing.

This is the fourth year we have illustrated the percentage of dollars spent on lottery versus raffle tickets as reflected in the pie chart on the right below. This pie chart clearly demonstrates, at least with respect to licensed lotteries and raffles, drawings for cash or primarily cash prizes are far more prevalent than drawings for merchandise prizes.

Several shifts in the percentage distributions of the lottery/raffle gross proceeds were seen this reporting period, as illustrated in the pie chart on the left below. Expenses increased from 3 percent last year to 12 percent this year. This substantial increase was primarily due to the increased advertising expenses and to the food and refreshments served at banquets where some drawings occur. Prizes paid decreased from 37 percent last year to 32 percent this year. The net result is that profits showed a decrease from 58 percent last year to 54 percent this year.

It should be noted that the figures on this page represent only lotteries with gross proceeds (ticket sales) exceeding \$1,000 and raffles with gross proceeds exceeding \$5,000. Nonprofit organizations conducting lotteries or raffles with gross proceeds equal to or below these thresholds are not required to file reports with the Department or pay any lottery/raffle tax. A significant number of lotteries and raffles conducted in Nebraska fall within the non-reportable category.

<b>Lottery Gross Proceeds</b> .....	<b>\$3,187,193</b>
<b>Raffle Gross Proceeds</b> .....	<b>1,375,508</b>
<b>Total Gross Proceeds</b> .....	<b>\$4,562,701</b>
<b>Prizes</b> .....	<b>1,454,265</b>
<b>Expenses</b> .....	<b>558,998</b>
<b>Total Taxes</b> .....	<b>91,254</b>
<b>Profit</b> .....	<b>\$2,458,184</b>



\* Actual prizes awarded equaled 47 percent of the total gross proceeds; however, of this 47 percent, 10 percent of the prizes awarded were donated prizes.

# County/City Lotteries


County/city lottery (keno) continued the rebound started three years ago, showing a 6.54 percent increase over the previous reporting period. Gross wagering at \$176,912,978 represented an increase of almost 11 million dollars. As almost half of the communities reported reduced wagering, most of the overall increase is attributable to the communities which experienced a notable increase in wagering from the prior year. The largest increases were reported by, in descending order of the dollar amount of their increase, Omaha, Ralston, Lincoln, Waverly, Papillion, Columbus, LaVista, North Platte, Bennington, and Fremont. A portion of this increase is also due to four new communities that were licensed to start conducting county/city lottery activity during the reporting period (the villages of Kilgore, Lodgepole, Niobrara, and Verdigre). Despite this year's repeated positive news, overall county/city lottery wagering is still down 15.22 percent from its peak wagering of \$208,670,897 during the 1995 reporting period.

There continues to be concern amongst communities and lottery operators in their ability to effectively compete with other forms of gambling authorized in neighboring states. However, perhaps the overall impact of these other forms of gambling on county/city lottery has stabilized as compared to the years immediately following the introduction of these new forms of gambling in other states. We are continually reminded by licensees that the restrictions in the current law (5-minute requirement between games, paper ticket requirement, and the prohibition against player activation of keno equipment) adversely affects their ability to produce additional revenue from keno. While certain equipment enhancements such as the automated ball draw system and game variations have been authorized by the Department, the limitations in the current law prevent communities and lottery operators from taking advantage of technological innovations in keno equipment which are now available.

One community held an election on the establishment of a lottery during the reporting period as compared to ten for the same period last year. The community approved the operation of a lottery within their jurisdiction (see pages 12 and 13 of this report for election status details). The number of communities licensed to conduct a lottery decreased to 128 during the reporting period. There were 136 licensed as of the end of the prior fiscal year, although there were eight communities that were inactive. Of the 128 licensed for the current period, it should be noted that seven communities were not operating their lotteries at the close of this reporting period (see pages 12 and 13 for licensed but inactive lotteries).

Again, there was little change seen this reporting period as compared to last with respect to the distribution of county/city lottery gross proceeds (see pie chart below). The primary percentages remained the same although operator commissions increased from 12.7 to 12.8 percent while other expenses showed a corresponding decrease from .9 to .8 percent.

<b>County/City Lottery Gross Proceeds</b> .....	<b>\$176,912,978</b>
<b>Prizes</b> .....	<b>133,780,525</b>
<b>Lottery Operator Commissions</b> .....	<b>\$22,630,469</b>
<b>Other Expenses</b> .....	<b>\$ 1,490,055</b>
<b>Total Expenses</b> .....	<b>24,120,524</b>
<b>Taxes</b> .....	<b>3,538,259</b>
<b>Profit</b> .....	<b>\$ 15,473,670</b>



# COUNTY/CITY LOTTERY ELECTION AND LICENSING STATUS

as of June 30, 2002

Holding Election	Result of Election	License Issued	Status	Holding Election	Result of Election	License Issued	Status
Ainsworth	Defeated			Genoa	Affirmative		(b)
Albion	Defeated			Gering	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Alda	Affirmative		(b)	Goehner	Affirmative		(b)
Alliance	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Gosper County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Anselmo	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Grafton	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Arlington	Defeated			Grant	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Arthur County	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)	Greeley	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Ashland	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Greenwood	Affirmative		(c)
Auburn	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Gretna	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Aurora	Defeated			Gurley	Affirmative		(b)
Avoca	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Hadar	Defeated		
Banner County	Affirmative		(b)	Haigler	Affirmative		(b)
Barneston	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Hall County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Battle Creek	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Hardy	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bayard	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Harlan County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Beatrice	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Hartington	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Beaver City	Affirmative		(b)	Hastings	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Beaver Crossing	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Hay Springs	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bee	Affirmative		(b)	Hebron	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)
Beemer	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Hemingford	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Belgrade	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Hershey	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bellevue	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Hickman	Defeated		
Belvidere	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Hitchcock County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Benedict	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Holbrook	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Benkelman	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Holdrege	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bennington	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Holstein	Affirmative		(c)
Bertrand	Affirmative		(c)	Hooper	Affirmative		(b)
Blair	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Howells	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bloomfield	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Hubbell	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bloomington	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Humboldt	Affirmative		(b)
Blue Hill	Affirmative		(b)	Humphrey	Affirmative		(b)
Blue Springs	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Imperial	Affirmative		(b)
Brady	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Indianola	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Brewster	Affirmative		(b)	Inglewood	Affirmative	(Part of Fremont)	Active
Bridgeport	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Kearney	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Brown County	Defeated			Keith County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Brownville	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Kenesaw	Defeated		
Buffalo County	Defeated			Kennard	Affirmative		(a)
Burr	Affirmative		(b)	Kilgore	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Cambridge	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)	Kimball	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Cedar Creek	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Lancaster County	Affirmative	(Part of Lincoln)	Active
Central City	Defeated			LaVista	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Chadron	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Lewellen	Affirmative		(b)
Chappell	Affirmative		(b)	Lincoln	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Chase County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Lincoln County	Affirmative	(Part of North Platte)	Active
Cheyenne County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Lindsay	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Clarkson	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Lodgepole	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Columbus	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Long Pine	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Cortland	Affirmative		(b)	Lorton	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Cozad	Defeated			Louisville	Affirmative		(b)
Craig	Affirmative		(b)	Loup City	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Crawford	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Lyman	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Creston	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Madison	Defeated		
Crete	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Madrid	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Dakota City	Affirmative (part of S. Sioux City)		Active (a)	Mason City	Affirmative		(c)
David City	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Maywood	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Dawson County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	McCook	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Denton	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	McGrew	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Deuel County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Melbeta	Affirmative		(b)
Dodge	Affirmative		(c)	Memphis	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)
Dodge County	Affirmative		(b)	Merriman	Defeated		
Doniphan	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Milligan	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Douglas County	Affirmative (Part of Omaha)		Active (a)	Minatare	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)
Duncan	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (a)	Minden	Defeated		
Dwight	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (a)	Monroe	Affirmative		(b)
Eagle	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Morrill	Affirmative		(c)
Elkhorn	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Morse Bluff	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Elm Creek	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Mullen	Affirmative		(a)
Elwood	Affirmative		(b)	Naponee	Defeated		
Ericson	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Nebraska City	Defeated		
Eustis	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Nehawka	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Exeter	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Neligh	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Fairbury	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Niobrara	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Fairfield	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Norfolk	Defeated		
Fairmont	Affirmative		(b)	North Bend	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Falls City	Defeated			North Platte	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Fillmore County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Odell	Affirmative		(b)
Fort Calhoun	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Omaha	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Franklin	Affirmative	Yes	Active	O'Neill	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)
Fremont	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Ong	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Fullerton	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Ord	Defeated		
Gage County	Affirmative		(b)	Osceola	Affirmative		(c)
Geneva	Defeated			Oshkosh	Affirmative		(c)

Holding Election	Result of Election	License Issued	Status	Holding Election	Result of Election	License Issued	Status
Osmond	Defeated			South Sioux City	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Otoe	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Spalding	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Overton	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Sprague	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Oxford	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Springfield	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Palisade	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Stanton	Affirmative		(b)
Palmer	Defeated			Sterling	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Palmyra	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Stuart	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Papillion	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Superior	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Pawnee City	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Sutherland	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Peru	Affirmative		(b)	Sutton	Defeated		
Platte Center	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Syracuse	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Plattsmouth	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Talmage	Affirmative		(b)
Pleasant Dale	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Tecumseh	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Polk	Defeated			Terrytown	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Potter	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Trenton	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Prague	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Unadilla	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Ralston	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Utica	Defeated		
Randolph	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Valentine	Defeated		
Ravenna	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Valley	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Raymond	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Valley County	Affirmative		(a)
Riverton	Affirmative		(c)	Valparaiso	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Roca	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Verdigre	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Rulo	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Verdon	Affirmative		(b)
Rushville	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Waco	Affirmative	Yes	Active
St. Edward	Affirmative		(a)	Wahoo	Affirmative	Yes	Active
St. Paul	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Wallace	Affirmative		(b)
Sargent	Affirmative		(b)	Walthill	Affirmative		Inactive (a)
Sarpy County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Washington County	Affirmative		(b)
Schuyler	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Waterloo	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Scotts Bluff County	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Wauneta	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Scribner	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Waverly	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Seward	Defeated			Wayne	Affirmative		(a)
Seward County	Defeated			West Point	Defeated		
Shelby	Affirmative		(b)	Wilber	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Shelton	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Wisner	Defeated		
Sidney	Defeated			Wolbach	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Silver Creek	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Wymore	Defeated		
Smithfield	Affirmative		(b)	Wynot	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Snyder	Affirmative		(a)	Yutan	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
South Bend	Affirmative		(b)				

(a) Election valid anytime

(b) Election expired

(c) Election subject to expiration

## LOTTERIES LICENSED BY COUNTY

as of June 30, 2002

Adams	Dakota	Gage Co. (cont.)	Lancaster Co. (cont.)	Sarpy
City of Hastings	City of Dakota City	City of Blue Springs	Village of Raymond	City of Bellevue
Antelope	City of South Sioux City	Gosper	Village of Roca	City of Gretna
City of Neligh	Dawes	Gosper County	Village of Sprague	City of LaVista
Arthur	City of Chadron	Greeley	City of Waverly	City of Papillion
Arthur County*	City of Crawford	Village of Greeley	Lincoln	Sarpy County
Box Butte	Dawson	Village of Spalding	Village of Brady	City of Springfield
City of Alliance	Dawson County	Village of Wolbach	Village of Hershey	Saunders
Village of Hemingford	Deuel	Hall	Lincoln County	City of Ashland
Brown	Deuel County	Village of Doniphan	City of North Platte	Village of Memphis*
City of Long Pine	Dodge	Hall County	Village of Sutherland	Village of Morse Bluff
Buffalo	City of Fremont	Harlan	Madison	Village of Prague
Village of Elm Creek	Village of Inglewood	Harlan County	City of Battle Creek	Village of Valparaiso
City of Kearney	City of North Bend	Hitchcock	Morrill	City of Wahoo
Butler	Douglas	Hitchcock County	City of Bayard	Scotts Bluff
City of David City	City of Bennington	Village of Palisade	Nemaha	City of Gering
Village of Dwight*	Douglas County	Village of Trenton	City of Auburn	Village of Lyman
Cass	City of Elkhorn	Holt	Nuckolls	Village of McGrew
Village of Eagle	City of Omaha	City of O'Neill*	Village of Hardy	Scotts Bluff County
City of Plattsmouth	City of Ralston	Village of Stuart	City of Superior	Village of Terrytown
Cedar	City of Valley	Howard	Otoe	Sheridan
City of Randolph	Village of Waterloo	City of St. Paul	Village of Lorton	City of Hay Springs
Village of Wynot	Filmore	Jefferson	Village of Palmyra	Sherman
Chase	Fillmore County	City of Fairbury	City of Syracuse	City of Loup City
Chase County	Village of Grafton	Johnson	Perkins	Thayer
Village of Wauneta	Village of Milligan	City of Tecumseh	City of Grant	City of Belvidere
Cherry	Franklin	Keith	Village of Madrid	City of Hebron*
Village of Kilgore	Village of Bloomington	Keith County	Phelps	Village of Hubbell
Cheyenne	City of Franklin	Kimball	City of Holdrege	Washington
Cheyenne County	Frontier	City of Kimball	Platte	City of Blair
Village of Lodgepole	Village of Eustis	Knox	City of Columbus	City of Fort Calhoun
Village of Potter	Furnas	City of Bloomfield	Village of Duncan*	Wheeler
Colfax	City of Cambridge*	Village of Niobrara	Village of Lindsay	Village of Ericson
City of Clarkson	Village of Holbrook	Village of Verdigre	Red Willow	York
Village of Howells	Village of Oxford	Lancaster	City of Indianola	Village of Benedict
City of Schuyler	Gage	Village of Denton	Saline	Village of Waco
Cuming	Village of Barneston	Lancaster County	City of Crete	
Village of Beemer	City of Beatrice	City of Lincoln	City of Wilber	

\* Licensed but inactive